

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

In re application of:

Daniel Berney

Sérial No. 001,479

Filed: January 8, 1979

For: Improvements in or

relating to organic

compounds

# Declaration Under Rule 172

I, Gábor Petrányi, declare and say that:

I am a German citizen residing at Bräuhausgasse 13,

A-2320 Schwechat/Austria.

I obtained my doctorate of Veterinary Medicino from

Justus Liebig-Universität, Glessen/Germany.

In 1969 I joined the Sandoz Research Institute, Vienna,

where I am employed as a mycologhet.

Under my supervision, the compound of Example 1 of United States application Serial Number 001,479, namely Trans-N-cinnamyl-N-methyl-N-(l-naphthylmethyl)amine of formula

in hydrochloride salt form, hereinafter referred to as Compound A,

N-(1-naphthylmethyl)-N-(2-phenethyl)amine of formula

hereinafter referred to as Compound B,

N-(2-naphthylmethyl)-N-(2-phenethyl)amine of formula

hereinafter referred to as Compound C,

and N-methyl-N-(2-napthtylmethyl)-N-(2-phenothyl)amino of

hereinafter referred to as Compound D,

were tested in vitro to determine their relative antimycotic activity against various strains of dermatophytes and other fungal strains.

The testing procedures followed and results obtained are described hereinafter.

## 1. In Vitro Test

1.1 Test Method - Determination of minimum inhibition concentration (MIC) in Microtitre system

The activities of compounds A to D were determined by measuring their minimum inhibition concentration using the series dilution technique with a dilution factor of 2. This was effected on Autotray — Microtitre plates [rectangular plastic plates with 120 round-bottomed depressions, arranged in 8 rows (coded A to H) each with 15 depressions (coded I to 15)] in a Canalco-Autotitre III apparatus [an automatic dilution apparatus with a microdilution head volume of 50 ml).

100 µl of substance solution of known concentration was manually pipetted into the first deprension of 8 consecutive rows (A to H). 50 µl of sterile nutrient medium was introduced manually into the remaining depressions.

The 8 geometric dilution series were produced up to the 14th depression of each row automatically using the Canalco-Autotitre III apparatus. The 15th depressions served as strain growth controls.

A plate of 50 µl of inoculum - a dilution of a standardised full culture - was manually pipetted into the 120 depressions and the plates, sealed with Parafilm, were incubated for 7 days (dermatophytes), 72 hours (fungi) or 48 hours (yeast) at 30°C and 60 % relative humidity.

The minimum inhibition concentration (MIC) was taken to be the lowest concentration of test substance in the nutrient medium (µg/ml) which completely suppressed strain growth therein to the naked eye.

# 1.2 Materials

Nutrient Medium: a) Sabouraud Glucose 2% broth (Merck):

Pepton from meat 5 g

Pepton from casein 5 g

D(+)-glucose 20 g

pH = 6.5

b) Sabouraud Glucose 4 % agar (BBL):

Polypepton 10 g

D(+)-glucose 40 g

Agar - agar 15 g

 $^{\circ}$  pH = 5,6

c) Fungus agar according to Kimmig (Merck): Standard II - nutrient broth 15 g

Pepton from meat 5 g

D(+)-glucose 10 g

Sodiumchlorid 5 g

Agar - agar 15 g

pH = 6,5 + 0,2

Test substance solvent: 5 % dimethyl sulphoxide.

#### Inoculum:

a) dermatophytes:

a number of fungus agar plates according to Kimmig (Merck) were inoculated, incubated for 7 days at 30°C and then carefully, under sterile conditions, scratched with a platinum spatula and, with the help of a glass homogeniser, finely homogenised in Sabouraud glucose 2% broth. The resulting full culture was filled in 1.5 ml portions into plastic ampoules and stored under liquid nitrogen using 5% (v/v) dimethylesulphoxide (Merck) as antifreeze agent.

- b) fungi: this was carried out in the same manner as for dermatophytes except that sabouraud glucose 4 % agar plates (BBL) were employed and were incubated for 4 days at 30°C.
- c) yeasts: this was carried out in the same manner as for dermatophytes except that sabouraud glucose 2 % broth was inoculated with yeast colonies and enriched as a shaken culture for 30 hours at 30° C and 60 % relative humidity.

As control of possible imporities in the full culture maintained under liquid nitrogen, each of 3 fungus agar plates according to Kimmig were inoculated and their germ count per ml determined (107 - 108/ml).

For the inoculum, the full culture was, before use, immersed in a water bath at 37°C for 2 to 5 minutes and adjusted to the desired germ count (10<sup>3</sup>/ml) with Sabouraud glucose 2% broth.

1	•	3	Test	Strains

•

1. T. rubrum Hygiene Inst. Würzburg Prof. H. Seeliger, No. 36

2. T. rubrum

Dermatologie Würzburg

Dr. Barfuse, No. 1895

3. T. mentagrophytes 2.Universitätshautklinik Wien

4. T. mentagrophytes Universitätshautklinik Genf

5. T. mentagrophytes CBS 56 066

6. T. mentagrophytes var. Sandoz Basel, No. 3667 quinckeanum

7. T. mentagrophytes var. Institut für Mikrobiologie quinckeanum Bayer AG

8. E. floccosum
2.Universitätshautklinik
Wien

9. E. floccosum ATCC 15693

10. M. canis

2. Universitätshautklinik
Wien

11. M. canis ATCC 11622

12. M. gypseum
2.Universitätshautklinik
Wien

13. M. racemosum

Hygiene Inst. Würgzburg

Prof. H. Seeliger, No. 16

14. Aspergillus fumigatus: Sandoz Basel, No. 3609

15. Sporotrichius schenkii: ATCC 14804

16. Candida albicans: Sandoz Basel, No. 2869

17. Candida parapsilosis: Veterinärmed. Univ. Wien

## 2. Test Results

• .	Test Strain	unumpupy	mum Inhibition	Concentration	; <b>5</b>
• • •		Compound A	Compound B	Compound C	-
• • •					
	<u>.</u>	人0.1	100	00 F00	
	<i>N</i>	八0.1	100	100	
	w	<b>★0.1</b>	>100	100	
	. <b>a.</b>	60.1	> 100	100	
	Un .	<b>☆.1</b>	100	100	
	o	<b>⊘.1</b>	100	100	**************************************
_	7	<b>\$0.1</b>	100	100	- <del>-</del> -
_	<b>©</b>	<b>₹0.1</b>	100	100	·
	G	<0.1	>100	100	land, Agglesia
	10	<0.2	100	100	·
Name of Street, or other Persons and Perso	}4 }4	<0.1	100	50	****
فيز البيط المساعد	12	<b>☆0.1</b>	>100	100	, N <del>o de - Va</del> ,
	13	₹0.1	>100	100	
	14	12.5	>100	100	
	15	1.56	100	100	<del>La una di</del> e
	16	>100	> 100	100	
	17	3.13	>100	100	
		••			
		•		-	

## Conclusions

In the <u>in vitro</u> tests set out above against various strains of dermatophytes, compound A is at least 500 times, and usually at least 1000 times, more active than any of compounds B, C and D. Indeed, in comparison with compound A, compounds B, C and D can be classed as inactive in these tests.

Furthermore, while the level of activity of compound A against the various other fungal strains tested is not so high as against dermatophytes, its activity against 3 out of of 4 of these strains is still vastly superior to that of compounds B, C and D.

Overall, in these tests, compound A is a highly active anti-mycotic agent, while compounds B, C and D are virtually inactive. Furthermore, this vastly differing spectrum of activity can in no way be explained by the fact that compound A was tested in hydrochloride salt form since the free base form would be expected to have even lower MTC values than the hydrochloride salt form, in view of the higher molecular weight of the latter.

I declare further that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardise the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

this 1614 day of August, 1979

Declarant

GABOR PETRANYI

Post Office Address Bräuhausgasse 13, A-2320 Schwechat/Austria.